## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| ESAW LAMPKIN,       | § |                             |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|
|                     | § |                             |
| Petitioner,         | § |                             |
|                     | § |                             |
| v.                  | § | Case No. 6:21-cv-84-JDK-JDL |
|                     | § |                             |
| DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID, | § |                             |
|                     | § |                             |
| Respondent.         | § |                             |

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner Esaw Lampkin, an inmate proceeding pro se, filed this federal petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petition was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On March 3, 2021, Judge Love issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Court dismiss the petition as successive. Docket No. 6. Petitioner previously challenged this same conviction in Case No. 6:18-cv-623, which was dismissed with prejudice on October 5, 2020. Petitioner has not shown that he has received permission from the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals to file a successive petition, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3). Petitioner sought leave from the Fifth Circuit to file a successive petition, but that request was denied on May 31, 2019. *In re Lampkin*, Case No. 19-40255 (5th Cir. May 31, 2019).

Petitioner objected to the Report arguing the merits of his claims and stating that he objects to the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act. He also contends that this law should not apply to his petition because he is raising

constitutional claims. Docket No. 8.

Where a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and

Recommendation, the Court reviews the objected-to findings and conclusions of the

Magistrate Judge de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the

Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the

law. Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en

banc), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the

time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Having conducted a de novo review of the Report and the record in this case,

the Court has determined that the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge is

correct, and Petitioner's objections are without merit. The Court therefore

**OVERRULES** Petitioner's objections (Docket No. 8) and **ADOPTS** the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) as the opinion of the District

Court. Petitioner's petition for habeas corpus is hereby DISMISSED WITH

**PREJUDICE** until Petitioner receives permission from the Fifth Circuit. Further,

the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 25th day of March, 2021.

FREMYD. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2